

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 9:05:49	December 21, 2021	CD Map District 4	Dan Schwartzstein	85254		The proposed LD 4 has more in common and shared interests with Arcadia/Scottsdale than it does with Desert Ridge or areas north of 101. The map should reflect that.
12/21/2021 9:07:20	December 21, 2021	IRC Maps	Jason Garner	85541		Adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep your communities of interest together.
12/21/2021 9:08:27	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	laura sampson	85224	self	As a resident of North Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 9:08:47	December 21, 2021	Draft maps	Leticia Velazquez	85251	Self	PLEASE adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps.
12/21/2021 9:08:50	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision LD 16.0, CD 12.1	Darrin Bergstad	85042	self	IRC should adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep your communities of interest together.
12/21/2021 9:09:30	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Rich Feely	85286	Chandler Resident	<p>As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.</p> <p>I encourage the commission to use this final day of debate to reduce the number of non-competitive districts while maintaining communities of interest. This latest LD 13 map shows this is possible in the East Valley. It should also be possible in other parts of the state with the same minor modifications made in Chandler.</p>
12/21/2021 9:10:52	December 21, 2021	Maps	Evelyn niklaus	85295		Thank you for selecting LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options
12/21/2021 9:11:05	December 21, 2021	MAPS Re-districting	Tiffany Carlson-Richison	85234	LD 12	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 As these Maps are the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep your communities of interest together. Thank you for listening to your community.
12/21/2021 9:13:18	December 21, 2021	VI Draft Map Decision Discussion	Bruner	85297	Myself	As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 9:13:27	December 21, 2021	Congressional Map 12.1	Briana Ortega	85646		The IRC should be extremely concerned regarding Congressional Map 12.1, which heavily favors Republican candidates. The IRC knows that Arizona is no longer the heavy red state that it once was. The IRC knows that our US Senators are Democrats, and that Arizonans voted for a Democratic president. The IRC knows that, as more and more Arizonans register to vote, we are proving to be a much more balanced state. To ignore those facts and create a heavily Republican-dominant map, would be an incredible failure for the IRC.
12/21/2021 9:13:29	December 21, 2021	LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps	Mike Brown	85296	Self	I would like to request that the IRC adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep your communities of interest together.
12/21/2021 9:13:51	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map Decision Discussion	James Sampson	85224	myself	As a resident of Chandler, I support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20, 2021. The change unites Chandler communities of common interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 9:13:56	December 21, 2021	VI	Leonard Gorman	86515	Navajo Nation Human Rights Commission	This is comment of Version 16 of the Legislative District 6. The Navajo Nation submitted on December 20, 2021 a map that provides 57.6% Native American Voting Age Population. The current Version 16 LD6 provides 57.28% NAVAP. While you may think that .4% is not a significant difference, however, every Native American vote is an important vote when complying with the Voting Rights Act, where it is necessary to satisfy the standard of Native American electing candidates of their choice. RECOMMENDATIONS: 1) Add the land area west of Winslow north of I-40 so that the LD6 boundary is along I-40; 2) add the Hopi trust lands along Coconino and Navajo county line in Winslow to LD6; 3) tweak the Flagstaff area to further reduce the deviation to -5%, with the purpose of raising the NAVAP to 57.6% at the minimum.
12/21/2021 9:15:51	December 21, 2021	Legislative District Map 16	Merissa Hamilton	85022		Thank you for listening to the concerns of our communities of interest, especially in legislative districts 2, 3, 4, 11 and 27. Please move forward with adopting the changes made to the 16.0 legislative map on 12/20/21 in these districts!
12/21/2021 9:16:03	December 21, 2021	CD final maps	Jacqui Bauer	85716	myself	You are packing Democrats into CD6 and completely rejecting the competitiveness criteria specified in the Constitution. I live in central Tucson, and have been packed into CD6 in order to make CD7 a safe R district. This is an absolute travesty, and ignores the reason that AZ voters adopted independent redistricting. Chairwoman Neuberger, you have the power to make this right. Please move the eastern boundary of CD6 back to Campbell, and prioritize competitiveness as specified in the Constitution.
12/21/2021 9:16:04	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	George F. Bruner	85225	Self	As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary
12/21/2021 9:17:04	December 21, 2021	Legislative Map	Merissa Hamilton		Strong Communities Action	Strong Communities Action is a non-partisan pro-liberty organization in Arizona with an activist membership base of nearly 10k and a subscriber base of over 60k in our beautiful state. Our members would like to thank you for listening to our communities of interest requests, especially in legislative districts 2, 3, 4, 11, and 27. Please move forward with adopting the changes made to the 16.0 legislative map you made on 12/20/21 in these districts! Thank you for putting our communities over politics!
12/21/2021 9:17:51	December 21, 2021	Fair Maps	Donna Booth	85308	Myself	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and cd 12.1 maps as a preferred option presented on 12-20-21 to keep your communities of interest together
12/21/2021 9:18:13	December 21, 2021	Congressional District	Merissa Hamilton	85022		While I don't find any of the Congressional maps presented this round to adequately represent our communities of interest, 12.1 is the best compromise of all options presented on 12/20/21, especially in considering the compactness in the configuration of the district 1. The 12.0 map is not compact and it would be impossible for a congressional representative to properly represent the entire district at the same time as the demographics are vastly different. Please move forward with map 12.1 to best represent my family's community of interest. Thank you!
12/21/2021 9:18:44	December 21, 2021	III	Judith Simons	85224	Self	As a longtime resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change keeps Chandler communities of interest together in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared to the previous LD 13 boundary. This is crucial for voters to have effective representation, as well as for candidates whose goal is to provide it. Our form of government requires participation and accountability. Please consider these points and thank you for your work.
12/21/2021 9:19:58	December 21, 2021	adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 .	Sharon Kroger	85022	self	to adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep your communities of interest together.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 9:20:13	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map Decision Discussion	Nick Garcia	85249	Self	As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th to extend the boundary further north. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary
12/21/2021 9:20:48	December 21, 2021	congressional districts map discussion	Laura Huenneke	86004	self	Commissioner Lerner's opening comments are very compelling to this listener. She is expressing comments that many registered Independents, as well as Democrats, are feeling in looking at map 12.1. The "packing" that she describes seems pretty obvious. And the overwhelming weight of public comment that has been ignored is apparent to anyone examining the record. It will be the chair's responsibility to articulate very specific responses to each of the specific points Commissioner Lerner has raised.
12/21/2021 9:21:07	December 21, 2021	LD Map 16	Ann Heitland	86004	self	Coconino County is now divided into 3 districts; the City of Flagstaff into two. All justified by the holiness of keeping Yavapai County whole, contrary to all sense of communities of interest and competitiveness.
12/21/2021 9:22:32	December 21, 2021	LD 16.0 and CD 12.1	Charles Novotny	85027	Self	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps as the preferred options presented on 12/ 20/21 to keep our communities of interest together. Thank you.
12/21/2021 9:23:06	December 21, 2021	LD-7	Stephen Otto	85541	Myself	Please respect the rural communities of interest of LD-7 by removing the urban residents of Flagstaff. Flagstaff has strong ties as a commerce and educational center to northern Arizona and as such should be in LD-6. Thank you
12/21/2021 9:23:52	December 21, 2021	LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps	Mayrene Reichardt	85637	Myself	Our communities of interest are very important and to keep those interests together, the IRC needs to adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options as presented today.
12/21/2021 9:25:22	December 21, 2021	Legislative map	Lisa Langaker	85262		Thank you for listening to our public comments concerning prioritizing communities of interest in drawing district lines. I believe Legislative Map 16.0 (Congressional Map 12.1) is the ideal map that best reflects our communities of interest. I ask that you adopt Legislative Map 16.0 (Congressional Map 12.1). Thank you
12/21/2021 9:26:14	December 21, 2021	VI.Draft Map decision discussion	Kris Eikelberger	85248	self	I'd prefer you use the city of Chandler boundaries for LD13. We should not divide the Latino community in north Chandler into 2 parts. It makes sense to keep it together in LD13. It would be helpful if you (commissioners) justify changes to draft map. There are 6 constitutional criteria. Since changes are acceptable only based on requests entered into the public record, we would like to see justification for the changes.
12/21/2021 9:26:27	December 21, 2021	Congressional District	Merissa Hamilton		Strong Communities Action	<p>Strong Communities Action is a non-partisan pro-liberty organization in Arizona with an activist membership base of nearly 10k and a subscriber base of over 60k in our beautiful state. While we don't find any of the Congressional maps presented this round to adequately represent our communities of interest, 12.1 is the best compromise of all options presented on 12/20/21, especially in considering the compactness in the configuration of the district 1.</p> <p>The 12.0 map is not compact and it would be impossible for a congressional representative to properly represent the entire district at the same time as the demographics are vastly different.</p> <p>Please move forward with map 12.1 to best represent our membership's diverse community of interest. Thank you!</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 9:26:58	December 21, 2021	CD Map	Rich Feely	85286	Chandler Resident	<p>Do not adapt the gerrymandered CD map version 12.1. This "starting point" creates a 6-3 Republican majority and disenfranchises Independent voters into one party districts. CD revisions have trended away from competitiveness through the IRC iteration process. This latest version continues that negative trend.</p> <p>The Chair consistently says that competitiveness is not the main criteria when developing districts. That communities of interest and other constitutional requirements have higher priority. But purposely making districts LESS competitive by prioritizing arbitrarily developed communities of interest over other communities violates the VRA as well as the Arizona Constitution. Prioritizing communities of interest to make CDs less competitive is the definition of gerrymandering. Political interests should not be able to choose their own voters. Version 12.1 should be rejected.</p>
12/21/2021 9:27:23	December 21, 2021	Legislative Map	Cynthia Sullivan	85331	Myself	<p>Thank you for listening to our public comments concerning prioritizing communities of interest in drawing district lines. I believe Legislative Map 16.0 is the ideal map that best reflects our communities of interest. I ask that you adopt Legislative Map 16.0. Thank you</p>
12/21/2021 9:27:26	December 21, 2021	Final Maps and how Competitiveness has been disregarded	Julie Pindzola	86301	a very concerned citizen	<p>Your negotiation process has been lopsided from the beginning. Competitiveness is not genuine or you would have a competitive 7. Approach this with the seriously open mind and fix your maps. Please! Thank you Commissioner Lerner for carrying the burden of working for competitiveness. And Chair Neuberger you do not need to patronize Commissioner Lerner. You have treated certain parties with great deference and others with veiled disdain.</p> <p>Madam Chair, you accept nothing as constructive criticism. Open your mind and open your heart. COMPETITIVENESS is a critical criteria. You are playing partisan games. Don't put that on Lerner.</p>
12/21/2021 9:28:45	December 21, 2021	Congressional map	Cynthia Sullivan	85331	Myself	<p>Thank you for listening to our public comments concerning prioritizing communities of interest in drawing district lines. I believe Congressional Map 12.1 is the ideal map that best reflects our communities of interest. I ask that you adopt Congressional Map 12.1. Thank you</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 9:28:53	December 21, 2021	Pima County Districts, District 17 in Particular	Sharon Johnson	85704	Self, husband, fellow independents	<p>I beg you, please do not condemn me to a district, District 17, that will deprive me of having a say in my representatives. Map 10.0 is said to give a 10-point advantage to Republican candidates. I have not voted a straight party ticket in the 59 years I have been voting (nor have I missed an election). I am not aligned with either party. Why should my interests be negated if the redistricting gives the edge to the Republicans?</p> <p>District 17 should stay contiguous and competitive. To have us in a district that includes the Houghton/Tanque Verde/Vail areas is ridiculous. Looking at a map, this is gerrymandering in extreme and certainly is not contiguous and maybe not even competitive.</p> <p>The map proposed is said to make Pima County evenly divided by the major parties. Why? What about the rest of Arizona that is primarily Republican? What about those of us, reported to be one-third of voters, who do not belong to either of the two parties? If there are districts safe for Republicans and another for Democrats, extremists can gain or retain power.</p> <p>The IRC was established to prohibit or at least diminish partisan gerrymandering. We voters (and we do vote) are counting on you. How districts are defined is critical to preserving our democracy.</p> <p>Please allow those of us who are not aligned to have a voice in governing our county, our state, and our country. Chose Map 9.0.</p> <p>Thank you. I hope you are listening. Sharon Johnson</p>
12/21/2021 9:32:03	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Janis Flavin	85263	Myself	<p>Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1maps. They provide the fairest representation for residents in our area of Rio Verde, Fountain Hills, and North Scottsdale.</p> <p>Respectfully,</p> <p>Janis & Jim Flavin</p>
12/21/2021 9:33:07	December 21, 2021	IRC to adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps	Stephen Harris	85310	Myself	<p>As in interested constituent, I request the IRC adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep my communities of interest together.</p>
12/21/2021 9:33:40	December 21, 2021	Adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps	Ginger Hokeness	85254		<p>Use the above as the preferred option</p>
12/21/2021 9:34:21	December 21, 2021	"VI. Draft Map decision discussion"	Patricia Murrish	85248	myself	<p>I live in Sun Lakes/Chandler. I'm asking again that you use the City of Chandler boundaries for LD13 especially in the north and east of Chandler to keep communities of interest together and to make the district more competitive. Please don't divide the Latino community in north Chandler in half</p>
12/21/2021 9:36:11	December 21, 2021	LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps	David Schafranka	85018	myself	<p>I'm asking the IRC to adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep our communities of interest together. Thank you to the IRC.</p>
12/21/2021 9:36:41	December 21, 2021	Redistricting map	Cindy Nablo	86001	Self	<p>Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep my communities of interest together.</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 9:38:27	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Nelson Morgan	85054	Self	<p>Regarding the discussion about the fairness of CD 12.1 : if we look at a probabilistic model from planscore, it pretty well supports the argument Commissioner Lerner is making. There is a very low probability of having the D's get 6 districts - something between the Rs getting 5 and 6 is the most probable. Chair Neuberger's repeated comments that the constitutional criteria do not include the partisan share of legislative and congressional seats. However, particularly since many of the criteria are quite subjective (what is a COI?), having a significant imbalance is a red flag that should direct us to carefully examine the vote shares in each district, which does directly correspond to one of the few criteria where numerical definitions have been determined.</p> <p>In the CD map, for instance, which is the initial point of conversation this morning, one reason for the shift in partisan share is the change in district 2 from within the 7% range to outside of that range. It's true that a .9% change might seem small, but given a modest R lean to begin with, this reduction in competitiveness was enough to move the likely outcomes significantly. This is matched by planscore's probabilistic model, which significantly shows reduced competitiveness for this district.</p>
12/21/2021 9:38:58	December 21, 2021	Legislative maps LD 6 and LD 7	Shirley Dye	85541	myself plus many other residents of N/E Arizona	<p>I continue to be very upset that the IRC has strayed from the Voting Rights Act priorities of Equal Population, Compact and Contiguous, Communities of Interest, districts bordered by Visible Geographic Features, County Boundaries, etc. INSTEAD... You have chosen to continue to bend to the will of the Latino/Native American Coalition's top priority of Competitive Districts to the detriment of the other five higher priorities. Rural Arizona is a minority population and needs a voice at the State Legislature. As I watched the Commission meeting on Sunday afternoon, Com Workman stated that if Flagstaff was in the Tribal District 6 they would not be able to elect a Native American in the primary. Com, Mehl replied that he had run the numbers and if Flagstaff was in the district, the NA would have 80% of the vote and Flagstaff would have only 20%, therefore, they could elect a NA candidate to the Democrat primary. Also, Com Workman complained there were 22 tribes and they only have 6 in their district. I highly urge you to put Flagstaff back into District 6 and let all of the White Mountain Communities be in LD 7 along with Williams, Gila Co. the Copper Corridor and a bit of Pinal County like the 12.1.1 version showed. This radical power hungry group has screwed up the whole rest of the state just like they did in 2011 when they promoted competitive districts over the other VRA priorities. Why should a small minority who may own 1/3 of the land in AZ, their "Sovereign Nations", and are wards of the Federal Government, have a say in how Arizona tax revenue is spent. Arizona has only 14%-16% private land as a taxable base, yet the tribes do not pay any property taxes or any other taxes. I SUGGEST THAT YOU MAKE UP A DISTRICT OF 230,000 NATIVE AMERICANS OUT OF THE 22 TRIBES, EVEN IF THEY ARE CHOPPED UP AROUND THE STATE, AND LET THE STATEWIDE TRIBAL COUNCIL HAVE THEIR OWN PURE DISTRICT. By cow towing to these ethnic minority groups you are being divisive of of the traditional melting pot of blended cultures who for hundreds of years have gotten along and lifted each other up. Competitive Districts do no one any good. It just causes strife at the capitol as evidenced by the past ten years. It is time to follow the priorities of the Voting Rights Act and put communities of interest / Compact and contiguous back at the top of the list. Don't gerrymander N. AZ rural citizens out of our district 7. Don't get me wrong, I love my Black, Latino, and Native American friends. I am not a racist or a homophobe. I just want our cultures to be based on the Content of our Character and communities of interest, not by isolating some minorities into districts so their power brokers can get some wins. This is not what the US and AZ Constitutions want for Arizona. PLEASE GO BACK TO MAP VERSION 12.1.1 WHICH REFLECTS THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT PRIORITIES ACROSS THE STATE, WHICH IS THE LD 7 MAP RURAL ARIZONA NEEDS.</p>
12/21/2021 9:39:27	December 21, 2021	Congressional map	Carol Stines	85029	Self	Please adopt LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps to keep communities of interest together.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 9:40:06	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft map discussion decision	Paula Feely	85286	Self	<p>First of all, thank you for all of your hard work. I appreciate the change in LD13 in Map 16.0 to include the northern part of Chandler as part of our legislative district. The people who live there are part of our community, and you have made an important change. Thank you.</p> <p>I object to the use of map CD12.1 to establish the Congressional districts because it is too far from the reality of our state's voting history. A 6-3 balance does not indicate how Arizona has voted, and it is a bad starting point. Please select the Dem map or a past map that better reflects our state as a starting point.</p>
12/21/2021 9:41:13	December 21, 2021	Proposed LD and CD maps	William Bodine	85213	Myself	<p>IRC members,</p> <p>First, I want to thank you for your service to the community and listening to us about community of interest. I have attended in person one of your meetings and spoke, and I have been following very closely the revision maps that have been developed.</p> <p>Second, I hope you seriously consider your charge to follow the Constitution and not skew your decision based upon political competitiveness. Citizens in the state of Arizona want to remain in communities of interest. That is why we live where we live! PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE make this a priority in your final maps.</p> <p>GEN. LEGISLATIVE MAP</p> <p>The most ideal situation is Gen. Legislative District map16.0 option presented on December 20, 2021.</p> <p>GEN. CONGRESSIONALMAP</p> <p>The most ideal situation is Gen. Congressional map 12.1option presented on December 20, 2021.</p>
12/21/2021 9:43:18	December 21, 2021	LD and CD map adoption	William Brown	85028	Self	<p>LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 represent a decent compromise plan and I urge you to adopt them. Thank you for your work in trying to craft a viable redistricting against a variety of constraints and amidst the second-guessing of public scrutiny.</p>
12/21/2021 9:43:58	December 21, 2021	Draft district maps	Cindy Cragin	85623	Myself	<p>Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/2021 to keep communities of interest together.</p>
12/21/2021 9:44:16	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Jenn Treadwell	85021	The people of Arizona	<p>I would like to see the IRC adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps. These maps were presented on 12/20 and make the most sense in terms of keeping communities of interest together.</p>
12/21/2021 9:46:07	December 21, 2021	VI. DraftMap decision discussion	Ava Jensen	85225	Myself	<p>As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared to the previous LD 13 boundary.</p>
12/21/2021 9:46:37	December 21, 2021	CD Map boundaries for CD6/CD7	Matt Parrilli	85637	Citizens of Sonoita/Elgin	<p>Our votes have no voice with this version. Give us the LD6/LD7 boundary we asked for in the CD0052 Citizen's Plan we sent you back in October. That plan moves Sonoita/Elgin into CD6 where we belong. This is a very strong Community of Interest issue. It also brings into focus the VRA as it applies to any race living in a bullet proof district.</p>
12/21/2021 9:50:02	December 21, 2021	Maps	Pam Villanueva	85086	Myself	<p>Thank you for listening and considering the comments of the public since that is who you serve. Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep your communities of interest together. This is vital for all AZ constituents. Thank you.</p>
12/21/2021 9:50:20	December 21, 2021	IRC adoption of the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps	Jeff Butler	85032	Myself	<p>I would like to request that the IRC adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21, as this will prevent the un-representitive gerrymandering of the Districts.</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 9:50:32	December 21, 2021	Map 15	Sarah Fanning	85248	self	As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 9:54:48	December 21, 2021	Public Comment - LD Map	Mike Weingarten	85716	self	<p>I live in the Broadmoor-Broadway Village neighborhood. Our consistent input continues to be ignored. When I walk the 1 mile from my house to my local library at Himmel Park, I walk through THREE LDs per maps 15 and 16. You cannot claim that you care about communities of interest with a straight face, and allow that to happen.</p> <p>Broadmoor-Broadway Village should be together with all of Sam Hughes and the residential neighborhoods to the north and east. Midtown. We should be part of LD18. Our needs and issues are completely different from those of Nogales, Sierra Vista or Bisbee. We do NOT belong in LD21. The dividing line between LD21 and LD18 should be 22nd St., or Golf Links Rd.</p> <p>There has been much self-righteous dialogue about communities of interest in this Commission, but allowing glaring fracture lines within and between cohesive groups of neighborhoods like these make all those words ring pretty hollow.</p>
12/21/2021 9:55:29	December 21, 2021	Ignorance about Northern Arizona	Julie Plndzola	86301	Ignoring NORthern Arizona Competitiveness	<p>CD 2 is not highly competitive. Adjacent CD 9 is hard Red. Commissioner Mehl stop praising yourselves. This is not what Fair and Competitive looks like. Chair Neuberg and Commissioner Mehl completely ignored Commissioner Watchman and Lerner's points and the concerns up north. Until that happens substantively, I think the this project will be viewed as a Fail.</p> <p>STOP interrupting Commissioner Lerner!</p>
12/21/2021 9:55:52	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Nelson Morgan	85054	Self	Commissioner Mehl has noted (as he has before) that the competitiveness being considered now compare very favorably with what the 2011 commission came up with, and that previous commission was sometimes viewed as weighing competitiveness highly. There is a serious flaw in this argument - Arizona in 2011 does not have the same partisan balance as Arizona in 2021. The elections prior to 2011 had a much stronger Republican lean, so that, after the VRA districts were included, there were indeed fewer opportunities for competitive districts. The changed state now has more opportunities for competitive districts in both LD and CD maps than would have been possible in 2011.
12/21/2021 9:57:07	December 21, 2021	Adoption of appropriate Legislative and Congressional district maps	Jacqueline J Quinn	85201	Myself and my family in Mesa, Arizona.	PLEASE act constitutionally and rightly to adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep my communities of interest together.
12/21/2021 10:00:36	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft map decision discussion	Rebecca Ong	85297	myself	As a Gilbert resident whose children attend Chandler public schools, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 10:00:53	December 21, 2021	LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps	Allen Skillicorn	85268	Taxpayer	Please adopt adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps. They seem to represent the best compromise for our communities.
12/21/2021 10:00:54	December 21, 2021	3	Sandra Sherwood	85379	Myself	I would like to thank you for hearing our concerns as it pertains to maintaining our communities of interest. I would like to propose the committee choose to adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep our communities of interest together.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 10:02:45	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Rachelle Fait	85373	Myself and my immediate family members and neighbors	The 16.0 Legislative Map, although not ideal- more fairly represents my residing district
12/21/2021 10:03:35	December 21, 2021	IRC Maps	David Genge	85255	Self	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21. This keeps our communities of interest together. Thank you.
12/21/2021 10:04:30	December 21, 2021	Adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps	Martin Alkin	85750	Self	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep our communities of interest together.
12/21/2021 10:04:56	December 21, 2021	redistricting	Anne Hawkins	85234	self	Please keep our community whole and do not divide us in redistricting.
12/21/2021 10:08:31	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map Revision Discussion	Carol Maas	85248	myself	As a resident of Sun Lakes, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary. This makes sense and should pass clearance.
12/21/2021 10:13:28	December 21, 2021	LD 16 map and CD 12.1 map	Nancy Palmer	85387	My community of interest in Surprise	The map versions LD number 16 and CD 12.1 best represent my community of interest in the most compact way possible and I am requesting that the committee make these the final maps for my area. I want to remain with my neighbors and those who make up my local community. Please take my needs and community into consideration for this purpose, thank you.
12/21/2021 10:13:34	December 21, 2021	Redistricting map boundaries	Jeff Franklin	85209	LD16	I am deeply appalled by the attempt to gerrymander our districts to gain a blatant and unfair advantage at the polls. This manipulation of the districts boundaries produces results that are uncharacteristic of the voters' wishes and shamelessly disregards the voters desires by drawing boundaries based on partisan lines instead of communities of interest. Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD12.1 Maps as the preferred options, which were presented on 12/20/21, to ensure boundaries are drawn to represent the voters' wishes and communities of interest and not to favor one part or another.
12/21/2021 10:17:17	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Linda Mighdoll	85327	self	The Arizona Redistricting Commission is setting a course for the state which will diminish democracy. Using communities of interest as a criteria is nice, but not critical. Preserving democracy by having competitive districts is essential. I am the child of a German mother who fled Hitler. The current maps guarantee a one sided victory in every election for the next 10 years. That is not how a democracy survives.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 10:18:16	December 21, 2021	Congressional Map	Jettie Kootman	85253	Jewish Women's Coalition	<p>Dear IRC Commissioners,</p> <p>We are a Jewish Women's Coalition who live in the Scottsdale area and we are an important community of interest representing over 300 members.</p> <p>We are writing to you to express our concern as to the latest redistricting map for Congressional District 1.</p> <p>Our concern is two-fold,</p> <p>First of all, you are not protecting the best interests of the communities in central Phoenix. Central Phoenix is an URBAN community with dense population and major business districts.</p> <p>Central Phoenix has a large community of young singles and young families. People who live in central Phoenix work, shop, entertain in their neighborhoods, IN PHOENIX.</p> <p>On your proposed western border of 19th Avenue, there is a substantial population of lower income people and minorities.</p> <p>Secondly, you have ignored the communities of interest east of Highway 51. East Phoenix, Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale are similar communities of interest.</p> <p>The above areas are SUB-URBAN communities with less dense housing and business districts.</p> <p>There is a large population of retired citizens in the various Scottsdale communities. People who live in Scottsdale, Paradise Valley, North Scottsdale do not typically go to Phoenix to work, shop or for entertainment. They stay in Scottsdale!</p> <p>Carving out the portion of central Phoenix west of Highway 51 to 19th Avenue, Indian School Road north to Highway 101 is an injustice and does not respect or service "like communities".</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · THIS CARVED OUT AREA NEEDS TO BE WITH THEIR LIKE COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST AND THEIR DESIGNATED CITY AND NEIGHBORHOODS. · THE NATURAL BARRIER IS HIGHWAY 51 NOT 19th AVENUE. · AREAS NORTH OF INDIAN SCHOOL TO NORTHERN AVENUE SHOULD RIGHTFULLY BE WITH DISTRICT 3. · THE AREA NORTH OF NORTHERN AVENUE TO HIGHWAY 101 SHOULD LEGITIMATELY BE WITH DISTRICT 8. <p>We can't thank you enough for all your time and effort in taking on this difficult job. But please understand how many lives are going to be affected by your decisions. We know you are getting input from all sides of the political and population arenas. But we hope that you will listen to our concerns and make the appropriate changes to the Congressional Redistricting Map for Congressional District 1.</p> <p>Jewish Women's Coalition</p>
12/21/2021 10:19:17	December 21, 2021	Redistricting Map Proposals	Joe Summers	85262	Self	<p>Given the options presented on 12/20/2021, please adopt LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps as these the preferred options for our community.</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 10:21:33	December 21, 2021	IRC to adopt 16.0 and CD12.1 Maps	Marla Neely	85050	Desert Ridge	IRC to adopt 16.0 and CD12.1 Maps
12/21/2021 10:22:59	December 21, 2021	Adopting Constitutional LD & CD Maps	Vicki	85042	Myself and Family	I am strongly urging the Independent Redistricting Committee to adopt LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps. It is imperative for supporting the rights of all constituents in the most fair an unbiased way possible.
12/21/2021 10:28:58	December 21, 2021	VI.Draft Map decision discussion	Leslie Hirsch	85248	self	Chandler. Please use the City of Chandler boundaries for LD13 especially in the north and east of Chandler. I do not want the Latino community to be divided in the North of Chandler, but keep it whole and integral in the voting process. I do feel that we are fulfilling their constitutional right by not dividing the boundaries on the draft map.
12/21/2021 10:34:31	December 21, 2021	Liberty move protects State Senator Kerr	Sharon Edgar	86004	self	At the December 17th meeting, one of Commissioner's York's change requests was to move the community of Liberty, which is near Buckeye, from the Latino majority-minority VRA district of LD23 to the safe Republic district of LD25. Jeremy Duda of the "Arizona Mirror" tweeted that moving Liberty from LD23 to LD24, moves GOP State Senator Kerr from a Democratic district into a Republican one. It is not legal to take an incumbent's address into consideration when drawing district lines. According to the address on Republican State Senator Kerr's Campaign Finance Report, her address was in LD25 in LD Test Map Version LD 13.1, then moved to LD23 in LD Test Map Version 14.0. Her address is now back in LD25 in LD Test Map Version 15.0.
12/21/2021 10:37:43	December 21, 2021	Legislative & Congressional redistricting maps	Patricia Meade	85023	Legislative district 20 & Congressional district 6	Thank you for listening to our concerns over the redistricting maps - especially in LD 2,3,4,27 & 11. I urge the IRC to adopt LD 16.0 & CD 12.1 maps as these are the best solutions to keep our communities of interest together. Thank you.
12/21/2021 10:37:50	December 21, 2021	General Congressional Map	Onofre Gutierrez III	85028	My self	Adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep our communities of interest together.
12/21/2021 10:40:39	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Robert Prince	85297		As a resident of Chandler, I support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 10:43:46	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Maps. Congressional Districts 6 & 7	Dianne Coscarelli	85718	Self	Commissioner Lerner makes a most cogent argument for tightening the competitive spread in CD6. We have had a most competitive CD in this area in the past. A spread of even 3% would be disruptive as that is a 3% handicap to one persuasion of voters. With redistricting there is a mandate to adjust population but not a mandate to make a district any less competitive—especially when as here, a near 50/50 spread is easily achievable. All voters, including Independents, will benefit.
12/21/2021 10:47:54	December 21, 2021	Redistricting maps	Susan Crane	85297	Self	I would like to request that you adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps as proposed on 12/20/21 to keep my community of interest together.
12/21/2021 10:48:25	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision	Jeffrey Hirsch	85248	self	In order to make LD 13 as competitive as possible, assuming all of us are working to strengthen the constitutional underpinning of fair and free elections, is to use the City of Chandler for its boundaries. I am at a loss as to what criteria is the basis for dividing the Latino community in half in the north of Chandler as proposed. Seemingly that would lead to a less competitive district rather than a more competitive one.
12/21/2021 10:50:00	December 21, 2021	IRC Redistricting to adopt LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 as preferred option	Sharon Crain	85258	Self	Adopt LD 16.0 & CD 12.1 maps options... presented on 12/20/21 to keep our communities of interest together

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 10:53:23	December 21, 2021	IRC Maps	Steven Boyd	85209	LD16	The IRC needs to adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps as presented on 12/20/2. Keeping our communities of interest together as they more closely align.
12/21/2021 10:56:41	December 21, 2021	Executive Session	Christian Lamar	85023	Myself	Respectfully, Why does Arizona's "Independent " Redistricting Commission go into secret executive session to discuss Voting Rights Act or Constitutional issues? Unelected legal counsel is not above scrutiny, the public interest or reproach. That above is not transparent. Lawyers don't know everything and should be subject to feedback from the public.
12/21/2021 10:58:12	December 21, 2021	Adopt LV 16.0 and CD 12.1	Kelly Wells	85255	Kelly wells	Please adopt LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps presented on 12/20
12/21/2021 11:00:03	December 21, 2021	benjammin71@gmail.com	Ben Wells	85255	myself	Please adapt LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps (12/20/21 preferred options) to keep my communities of interest together. Thank you!
12/21/2021 11:01:13	December 21, 2021	Mapping	Phyllis Smith	85331	self	The latest LD3 map creates a non-competitive with, packed Republican district with over a 26 point spread. The map is not compact since it includes New River on the west and Apache Junction, Apache Lake and Tortilla Flats on the east. The eastern section is essentially inaccessible and not compact. Additionally, the eastern remote sections of Maricopa County are not part of the COI for the Cave Creek/Carefree communities. Three out of the 6 criteria are not met for this district. Solve this by eliminating Anthem, New River, Desert Hills and the eastern remote section of Maricopa County. Then move the boundaries south to include Desert Ridge (as in previous versions) and include areas that follow Cave Creek and Tatum roads south to Bell Road. These adjustments would then meet the compactness and COI criteria and reduce the packed, non-competitiveness. Thank you.
12/21/2021 11:03:25	December 21, 2021	Legislative Maps	Jon Heidrich	85390	Myself	I would like to give my input on the redistricting maps. As a resident of Wickenburg Ranch, I would like Wickenburg Ranch to remain in LD 1.



Public Meeting Comments 12.21.21

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 11:05:16	December 21, 2021	Competitiveness should not be a priority	Christian Lamar	85023	Myself	<p>Again Respectfully,</p> <p>Competitiveness is not a priority. There should not be a focus on competitiveness. That is unconstitutional.</p> <p>I am going to say it again and again and again.</p> <p>Competitiveness is not a priority. There should not be a focus on competitiveness. That is unconstitutional.</p> <p>Competitiveness is not a priority. There should not be a focus on competitiveness. That is unconstitutional.</p> <p>Competitiveness is not a priority. There should not be a focus on competitiveness. That is unconstitutional.</p> <p>Competitiveness is not a priority. There should not be a focus on competitiveness. That is unconstitutional.</p> <p>Competitiveness is not a priority. There should not be a focus on competitiveness. That is unconstitutional.</p> <p>And again.</p> <p>Competitiveness is not a priority.</p>
12/21/2021 11:06:18	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Walter Houtz	85022	EZAZ	<p>IRC, please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep our communities of interest together.</p>
12/21/2021 11:09:13	December 21, 2021	IRC	Christa Leong			<p>Thank you for listening to the public input on the redistricting. Legislative district 7 still appears large and not consistent with one community. That said, the current draft 16 has incorporated public feedback to keep communities of interest together. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
12/21/2021 11:12:33	December 21, 2021	Final Maps	Luke Rogonjich	85085	My family	<p>I am requesting that the committee adopt maps LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the final maps moving forward as they best represent the communities of interest and competitiveness while respecting the Constitutional requirements as stated in AZ law. Map 7.1 which was adopted as the baseline recently is highly partisan and violates the spirit of fair representation for our communities.</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 11:17:55	December 21, 2021	Mapping	Jay Simpson	85016	Myself and all Arizonans	<p>One of the three national experts who presented to the Arizona Independent Redistricting Committee regarding measures of competitiveness was Dr. Samuel Wang of Princeton University. Dr. Wang created the Princeton Gerrymandering Project which, among other things, has developed a well-respected method to score proposed redistricting maps for their fairness, competitiveness and partisan bias.</p> <p>Princeton scored the draft maps that the IRC published October 28th before the 30 public comment period. The scores were published the day after the draft maps were finalized. Both the congressional and legislative draft maps received an "A" for partisan fairness. The Congressional map, 7.1, was scored to have a 5.6% partisan bias towards republicans. The LD map, 10.0, had a 3.3% partisan bias towards republicans. Both of the draft maps received the grade of "C" for competitiveness with the congressional map having only three of nine competitive districts and the legislative map having only five of thirty competitive districts.</p> <p>The IRC then had 30 days of hearings to receive public input on their draft maps. Hundreds of concerned citizens testified in favor of increasing the number of fair and competitive districts in both the congressional map and the legislative map. The number of witnesses supporting fair and competitive maps were roughly six times those who disfavored competitive districts.</p> <p>Instead of heeding the overwhelming public call for fair and competitive maps, the IRC is currently engaged in a partisan exercise to create unfair and non-competitive maps. Their changes to the draft maps have not focused on fairness but have been designed to create the maximum amount of partisan bias in favor of the republican party.</p> <p>The Princeton Gerrymandering Project has scored their most recent maps as of December 20, 2021. Specifically, they scored two maps, CD 11.1 and LD 15.0. Both maps have gone backwards from the draft maps according to Princeton's scoring. The republican bias on the legislative map has more than doubled to 6.7%. Not surprisingly, the partisan bias grade has been lowered to a "B" from an "A". There are fewer competitive districts (down to four from five out of thirty on the LD side). The number of county splits has increased and the compactness scores have gone down. This is a worse map according to nearly every criteria Princeton employs. The congressional map now has only two districts that are rated competitive under Princeton's scoring. I requested Princeton score CD map 12.1 which was adopted by the IRC. Based on Timmons' competitive data analysis, I am sure map 12.1 is a step in the wrong direction.</p> <p>Princeton Gerrymandering Project's scoring of the recent maps can be found here:</p> <p>AZ cong map 11.1 (RC): https://gerrymander.princeton.edu/redistricting-report-card/?planId=rectm7R9VDddge6nf</p> <p>AZ SL map 15.0 (RC): https://gerrymander.princeton.edu/redistricting-report-card/?planId=recYW2ZCwhirMcFw0</p>



Public Meeting Comments 12.21.21

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 11:19:39	December 21, 2021	Public comments	Jeffrey Specter	85395	Self	<p>I live in the PebbleCreek Precinct of Goodyear. We are within the proposed CD9 and LD29.</p> <p>AZ Draft 16.0 LD29 is much better than the previous Draft (15.0). Draft 16.0 encompasses the City of Goodyear north of McDowell Road and thereby respects our community of interest. The previous version did not respect the city boundary.</p> <p>Draft Congressional District CD9 version 12.1, still includes parts of Yuma. Yuma is not considered a community of interest with the West Valley. It should be included with CD7.</p>
12/21/2021 11:22:57	December 21, 2021	All maps. Especially TANQUE VERDE	Steven R Marks	85749	Self	<p>Please please please put the focus on competitive districts not just a balance of safety streets. Competitive districts help us work together which we must do as a nation and it is part of your job to see that we do the best we can to work together and get away from these extremes that are killing our nation</p>
12/21/2021 11:24:41	December 21, 2021	Oppose redistricting	David	85209	AZ	<p>REDISTRICTING</p> <p>Redistricting is the Most Important Process for Public Participation for the Next Decade! Volunteer to Help Save Arizona!</p> <p>VOLUNTEER</p> <p>Six Constitutional Requirements</p> <p>The Independent Redistricting Commission must follow six requirements in their process of drawing new legislative and congressional districts.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Comply with the US Constitution and US Voting Rights Act2. Congressional & Legislative Districts divided into equal populations3. Districts should be geographically compact & connected4. District boundaries must respect communities of interest5. Use geographic features such as city and town boundaries and undivided census tracks6. Competitive Districts as long as the other five requirements are prioritized
12/21/2021 11:27:27	December 21, 2021	LD Maps	Anna Arnold	85331	self	<p>I am a resident of the Cave Creek community for the last 34 years. The LD 16.0 map is totally unacceptable, adding New River and including far east mountain barriers. The proposed map fails in compactness and ignores our community of interest south along the Cave Creek Road /Tatum Corridor. It further increases the spread to 26% non-competitiveness. LDF054 is a map that contains Cave Creek's true COI southern corridor and meets the other five constitutional criteria. Please review this map in your deliberations.</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 11:29:59	December 21, 2021	Adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep our communities of interest together	Linda Tucker	85258	Scottsdale	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep your communities of interest together
12/21/2021 11:33:56	December 21, 2021	LD Maps	Joseph Junker	85258	Self - Citizen of Scottsdale	I've presented numerous times, and thank you to IRC for listening to my community of interest. Please adopt Legislative Map 16.0.
12/21/2021 11:34:05	December 21, 2021	Approval of maps	Michael Meacham	85033	LD 29	Please approve the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps presented on 12-20-21
12/21/2021 11:35:38	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Weldon Knape	85248	self	First, as a resident of Sun Lakes, I would like to express my appreciation for Sun Lakes being included in LD13. Being very active in Chandler, my request is for the LD17 boundary, including Sun Lakes, to follow the Chandler city limits. Thank you.
12/21/2021 11:36:21	December 21, 2021	CD Maps	Joseph Junker	85258	Self - Citizen of Scottsdale	As I mentioned in the LD maps comments, I commend you for changes you've made. My interest to keep my Congressional District in the closest to the character of my community of interest requests that you please adopt Congressional District Map 12.1. Thank you.
12/21/2021 11:36:31	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Allen Carlson	85225	Self	As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 11:42:39	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Mary Knape	85248	myself	I live in Sun Lakes and therefore spend a lot of time and most of my shopping in Chandler. (This morning my husband spent \$300 for groceries at Costco) I have lived in Chandler, then Sun Lakes since 2001 and definitely feel a part of the Chandler community. When you draw up the final LD13 lines, it would make sense to have them follow the Chandler city limit boundaries. Of course, I do want to keep Sun Lakes in LD13.
12/21/2021 11:43:15	December 21, 2021	District Maps	Jeanne Herberg	85044	concerned AZ citizen	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as the preferred options presented on 12/20/21 to keep our communities of interest together.
12/21/2021 11:47:53	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	CHARLES KIRKHUFF	85283-4132	Myself	Thank you for the opportunity to address the commission. Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps. These maps come closest to maintaining the integrity of communities of interest while fulfilling the other requirements set out in Arizona law for drawing district lines. "Competitiveness" should not be a consideration as this introduces political concerns into what is supposed to be an apolitical process. Thank you for your consideration.
12/21/2021 11:52:42	December 21, 2021	Legislative and Congressional maps	Janel Eichler	85308	Myself	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 Maps as they are the ones that represent the communities in Az the best
12/21/2021 11:54:04	December 21, 2021	Redistricting Maps	Tonya Forbrook - Town of Wickenburg	85390	Town of Wickenburg Mayor / Council	A Resolution and Map regarding Wickenburg were submitted to IRC Staff today and agreed it would be sent to the Commission. We respectfully request for Wickenburg and neighbors be included with it's like neighbors including Morristown, Wittmann, and Surprise, as well as Wickenburg's West Valley partners.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 12:03:13	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft map decision discussion	Thomas Dusbabek	85249	Self	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the redistricting. As a resident of Chandler it is my hope that LD 13 boundaries keep Chandler intact, to me this is a logical step and will not divide the Latino community in the north of Chandler. Chandler is a wonderfully diverse and dynamic community...part of the reason for our relocation from Phoenix proper. Please allow this community to remain intact geographically.</p> <p>Also, please justify the proposed changes and which of the constitutional criteria they are fulfilling...full transparency is vital especially considering the political climate in which we live. Thank you again</p>
12/21/2021 12:03:26	December 21, 2021	Redistricting Maps	Tonya Forbrook	85390	Town of Wickenburg - Letter from Council	<p>Dear Commission Members:</p> <p>The Town of Wickenburg, Arizona ("Wickenburg"), requests that the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission (the "Commission") adjust the proposed map to keep Wickenburg together and located in Congressional District #9. The Commission is constitutionally required to consider: 1) equal population; 2) geographic compactness and contiguosity; 3) boundaries that respect communities of interest; 4) use of visible geographic features (city/town or county boundaries); and 5) competitive districts, in drawing legislative districts.</p> <p>The Town understands that the maps will be complete by the end of this December and writes to urge the District to consider final adjustments to the maps to ensure Wickenburg remains united politically. The Constitution is clear – communities of interest must stay together. The Commission should also be guided by a desire to keep municipal boundaries united where possible. The Town is geographically compact and best served as an undivided community. The request is to Keep Wickenburg Together. As population growth continues in Wickenburg, it will be imperative to include all population Wickenburg as well as the Maricopa County surrounding neighbors over the next ten years to have a voice in shaping our future. Our request to keep Wickenburg town limits and community together portion would not create an imbalance, but instead actually help balance the two districts. It is noted that the Legislative draft maps also show Wickenburg in various states of separation. Draft maps split the Wickenburg community between Maricopa and Yavapai. Wickenburg is 90% in Maricopa and has strong relationships with numerous Maricopa County programs, MAG, and more. It is important to Wickenburg to include all of its town limits and the future annexation plan as these will be affected by decisions made for the majority of Wickenburg residents. The Congressional draft map is also very important to Keep Wickenburg Together and with the West Valley / Maricopa County partnerships that we have secured and fostered over the past years.</p> <p>I hope that the Commission reconsiders the proposed maps and adjusts boundaries to keep Wickenburg together and with our West Valley / Maricopa County partners to continue our efforts over the next ten years.</p> <p>Very truly yours,</p> <p>Mayor Rui Pereira Town of Wickenburg, Arizona</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 12:12:09	December 21, 2021	Redistricting Maps	Tonya Forbrook	85390	RESOLUTION from Town of Wickenburg - Mayor and Council	<p>RESOLUTION NO. 2296 - A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN OF WICKENBURG, ARIZONA, URGING THE ARIZONA INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION TO ADJUST THE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT BOUNDARIES TO KEEP WICKENBURG TOGETHER USING THE TOWN'S MUNICIPAL PLANNING AREA FOR ADJUSTMENT OF BOUNDARIES AND PLACING THE TOWN IN LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT 29 AND CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 9</p> <p>WHEREAS, article 1, section 2, clause 3 of the Constitution of the United States of America orders a national census to be performed once every ten years; and</p> <p>WHEREAS, 2 U.S.C. § 2a outlines the mathematical formula for determining how to calculate each state's representation in Congress based on total population, known as reapportionment; and</p> <p>WHEREAS, the census information is distributed to the states, which is used to draw congressional and legislative district lines;</p> <p>WHEREAS, pursuant to Proposition 106, approved at the 2000 general election, the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission ("Commission") is charged with determining said reapportionment for congressional and legislative representation within the State of Arizona, and</p> <p>WHEREAS, article 4, Part 2, Section 1 of the Arizona Constitution requires the commission to draw new district boundaries in a grid-like pattern across the state that must comply with the United States Constitution and Voting Rights Act, and to the extent practicable, accommodate the following five goals: 1) equal population; 2) geographic compactness and contiguousness; 3) boundaries that must respect "communities of interest"; 4) use of visible geographic features such as Town, town and county boundaries; and 5) favor the creation of competitive districts without significant detriment to the other goals; and</p> <p>WHEREAS, the Commission intends to adopt final maps in December 2021; and</p> <p>WHEREAS, Wickenburg has more connections to the West Valley and Maricopa County than to areas West of town; and</p> <p>WHEREAS, the Town of Wickenburg objects to the division of its rural community which is both a community of interest and appropriate to keep together due to its size, interest, and boundaries.</p> <p>NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF WICKENBURG, ARIZONA, that Town of Wickenburg urges the Commission to establish legislative representation of Wickenburg as a united and undivided district in political representation and asks the Commission to adjust the maps to keep Wickenburg together, using the Town's municipal planning area as depicted in Exhibit A, as guidance for boundaries.</p> <p>BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town of Wickenburg urges the Commission to place it in Legislative District 29 and Congressional District 9.</p> <p>PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF WICKENBURG, ARIZONA, this 20th day of December, 2021.</p> <p>ATTEST: Amy Brown, Town Clerk</p>
12/21/2021 12:16:14	December 21, 2021	Map D4 & D5	Rita Day	86301	myself	I commend Commissioner Lerner for her patience and persistence in trying to accommodate the communities of interests. It is embarrassing that other Commissioners attempt to bully her into caving to their whims. Lerner is just doing her job to advocate for the public's preferences, based on their public testimony.
12/21/2021 12:22:36	December 21, 2021	LD 16.0 and CD 12.1	Herb Phillips	85755	Myself	I like the maps presented on 12/20 to help our communities be better represented.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 12:24:38	December 21, 2021	Gerrymandered LD 17	Mary Jo Sheldon-DiVito, Esq., CPA (inactive)	85718	Myself as a long time Republican	Please vote YES to LD17 9.0 and NO to 10.0. Version 10.0 is a gerrymandered district that in the past was a competitive district - voting sometimes Republican sometimes Democrat. Changing this district to an uncompetitive district undermines our democracy. There is no democracy if my vote can not make a difference. Although a Republican, I like to vote for the best candidate. That candidate is generally a moderate. I have no commonality with David Mehl's agenda, as I am sure most of the people in the Tucson area don't. He is a large developer and resort owner in Marana. As you were told at the public meeting the Tanque Verde valley has more in common with Tucson than it does with Marana and Saddlebrooke in Pinal County. I have little commonality with Marana and don't want the mayor of Marana deciding my political options. This is a further reduction of the people's ability to have fair elections. I don't want to be in a gerrymandered republican or democratic district. I want to be in a competitive district where individuals' votes count. Corporations can vote with their money and they attempt to control our politics that way. If all of our districts are gerrymandered that further undercuts our democracy. If individual votes don't make a difference we will be descending into autocracy. That seems to be the way the Republican party is leaning. There are many Republicans that don't like the direction the Republican party is going. There is a short term agenda, make as much money as you can now. Don't worry about the destruction you are causing. A party that toadies to Trump is not the Republican party that I have supported in the past. Arizona politics is an embarrassment that has cost the state. This gerrymandered district will be the subject of a federal lawsuit. Please don't further erode our democratic process. That is what makes America a great country.
12/21/2021 12:33:43	December 21, 2021	LD Draft Map 16.0 and LD3	Suzanne Mead	85331	Self	If the IRC can create a gerrymandered LD17 on behalf of Republicans, it can create better districts for Cave Creek, Carefree and Scottsdale Democrats. LD3 is not that. In fact it looks increasingly like yet another gerrymandered Republican district resulting in a highly uncompetitive 26% vote spread. Nor is it compact. You can't get to Terravita in North Scottsdale from Apache Junction without driving through four other LDs. Respect our collective COI which is North-South along the Tatum, Cave Creek and Scottsdale Rd. corridors, not East from New River to Apache Junction in the far West. Remove New River and Anthem from this map. Extend LD3 south to include Desert Ridge and communities south of the 101 as far as Bell Road or further.
12/21/2021 12:43:50	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map Decision Discussion	Cindy Hans	85225-____	self	For over five years I've been an active member of Chandler. I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary. Chandler needs to stay unified to reflect the other government/community groups: local legislative and public education offices.
12/21/2021 12:50:39	December 21, 2021	Chair Neuberg Comments	Suzanne Mead	85331	Self	I like what I heard from Chair Neuberg today: "I am going to look through the lens of the constitutional criteria--competitiveness, communities of interest, contiguity and honoring the VRA." And that she's "all in on making CD2 more competitive." She also makes solid points about uncertainties inherent in the political process about which party will ultimately come out on top. But to ignore the elephant in the room--extreme partisan imbalance--will get us no where as a socially diverse and increasingly progressive state if you do not acknowledge the inequities of so many "safe," packed or cracked districts. I urge the Commission and Chair Neuberg in particular to worry about the ramifications of laying the groundwork for ten more years of extremist ideology and partisan discord. Compromise is great, if it applies to both sides of the Commission and leads to less divided districts, regardless of perceived communities of interest.



Public Meeting Comments 12.21.21

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 12:58:19	December 21, 2021	suzannemeadphoto@mac.com	Suzanne Mead	85331	Self	<p>This is a correction from an earlier submission in which East and West was reversed:</p> <p>If the IRC can create a gerrymandered LD17 on behalf of Republicans, you can create better districts for Cave Creek, Carefree and Scottsdale Democrats. LD3 in LD Draft 16.0 is not that. In fact it looks increasingly like yet another gerrymandered Republican district resulting in a highly uncompetitive 26% vote spread. Nor is it compact. You can't get to Terravita in North Scottsdale from Apache Junction without driving through four other LDs. Please respect our collective COI which is North-South along the Tatum, Cave Creek, and Scottsdale Rd. corridors, not West from New River to Apache Junction in the Far East. Remove New River and Anthem from this map. Extend LD3 south to include Desert Ridge and communities south of the 101 as far as Bell Road or further. Thank you.</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 13:07:25	December 21, 2021	VI.Draft Map decision discussion	William Bowlus-Root	85365	Myself	<p>Commissioner Neuberg has interjected comments at several points during today's discussion that she is concerned that the debate has taken a partisan direction and that that is in some way contrary to the constitutional criteria that should be under consideration.</p> <p>It should be noted that, although Proposition 106 strove to take politics out of the process to decide district boundaries, it did not entirely remove that influence. Politics still enters the picture in the way commissioners are selected as well as the inclusion of the Competitiveness criteria. You can't discuss Competitiveness without discussing the role of politics in elections. As such, it doesn't make sense to expect politics and the balancing of the potential influence of the major parties within districts to be off the table during deliberations. The voters anticipated this discussion and set the direction in Proposition 106 toward "fair and competitive" (not safe) districts. They knew this was vital to the health of democracy in the state. They intended it to be one of the factors - on par with the others - to be considered when drawing boundaries. So if they are to give a full hearing on ALL the criteria, the commissioners must address the partisan implications of drawing districts.</p> <p>I would also note the qualifier at the end of the Compromise clause: "where to do so would create no significant detriment to the other goals". The word "significant" is key here. It does NOT say that the other criteria must be adhered to completely before the boundaries of a district can be adjusted to increase Competitiveness. While avoiding the division of Communities of Interest is also a goal (and a worthy one), like other goals it may not be entirely achievable, and sometimes they will have to be split in order to comply with or strike a reasonable balance with other goals - including Competitiveness.</p> <p>The challenge is to find the point where an insistence on Competitiveness causes the goal of keeping Communities of Interest intact to suffer a SIGNIFICANT detriment. Where is that point of significance? Does failing to respect a Community of Interest comprised of a shopping mall and neighboring entertainment district constitute a significant detriment that warrants changing the Competitiveness of an entire district from "competitive" to "safe" for either party?</p> <p>Unfortunately, the focus on using Communities of Interest as THE organizing force around deciding district boundaries rather than trying to balance the criteria (ALL the criteria) has led you to maps that will have disastrous consequences for the welfare of our citizens and the future of democracy in Arizona (and possibly the nation).</p> <p>This is exactly the opposite of what the voters expected of this commission and is a complete abrogation of your responsibilities to the people. You owe us an explanation of just how it was you came to be so far from our vision and hopes.</p> <p>William Bowlus-Root A concerned citizen</p>
12/21/2021 13:12:51	December 21, 2021	IRC LD map version 15.0	Clarice McGrath	85233-7930	Myself	<p>I firmly believe that the best, most compelling reason to redistrict is to create more competitive districts. The changes that are being proposed for LD map version 15.0 achieve that goal most effectively</p>

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 13:15:44	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Lauren Kuby	85282	self	<p>I like the consensus you are creating -- focusing on communities of interest and city boundaries while simultaneously building more competitive districts.</p> <p>As a Tempe resident, I request that the east side of Tempe be moved from D9 to D8 and D12 -- which will better respect Tempe's city boundaries (as you have done with Chandler and Gilbert).</p> <p>Related to the Congressional maps, if you are not focused as much on competitiveness, please build in equity of those few that are competitive while elevating communities of interest and city boundaries.</p>
12/21/2021 13:15:56	December 21, 2021	Legislative and congressional district maps	Bonnie Davidson	85205		Please adopt the legislative district map 16 and congressional map 12.
12/21/2021 13:19:48	December 21, 2021	ATTN: Hualapai #310 precinct Split LD3/LD4	Ron Paler	85255-3998	Self	<p>Dear AZ independent redistricting committee and Chairwoman Neuberger,</p> <p>There is concern that Hualapai precinct #310 is split between LD3 and LD4. Please consider placing all of Hualapai precinct #310 in either LD 3 or in LD4 (preferably LD4) to ensure our precinct is kept whole and in accordance with IRC and state requirements. This should only require a very minor shift of the current proposed lines. Thank you again for all of your hard work during the redistricting process!</p> <p>Regards, Ron Paler, M.D</p>
12/21/2021 13:20:52	December 21, 2021	LD Map 16.0	Crystal Bazarnic	85085		I am looking at LD map version 16.0, and am very concerned about using I-17 as a border between D3 and D28. Our I-17 north community is east AND west of I-17, and you've cut Anthem in half for no reason. Republican and Democratic leadership in our area have repeatedly presented comments explaining how critical it is to keep our entire I-17 north corridor together. We have a large semiconductor plant going in west of I-17 off the Loop 303, and would like this area included in our district as well so our representatives can help us integrate new housing and retail into our community. Please do not make us an afterthought. We are all pleading with you to keep us together. Thank you.
12/21/2021 13:35:41	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Jamie Shelburne	85939	Self	The latest map 16.0 seems to give fair representation to both the rural communities and the Native Nations. Please approve this as the final map.
12/21/2021 13:39:12	December 21, 2021	Keep LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps keep communities of interest together!	sandra copeland	85233	communities	See above
12/21/2021 13:42:37	December 21, 2021	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 draft maps	Brian Tetrault	85215	Self	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 draft maps as they effectively promote communities of interest.
12/21/2021 13:47:00	December 21, 2021	CD maps	Raymond Gross	85379	Myself	I am disgusted by the blatant partisanship displayed by commissioner Lerner hiding behind 'competitiveness'. She is drawing maps to give Democrats an advantage by packing as many Republicans into as few a districts as she can. The VRA districts already guarantee the Democrats two districts.



Public Meeting Comments 12.21.21

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 13:48:21	December 21, 2021	Draft maps	Hunter Adelstein	85020	Myself, the fed-up and disappointed people of Arizona	From day one, this commission has been plagued by corruption and secret deals, from commissioners lying about their political stance to slip by as "independents" and push their agenda to the secret deal with a Phoenix commissioner for her to endorse egregious gerrymanders. Twenty years ago, the people voted for an independent commission. Independent means independent. One party should not be able to hijack it, as it clearly has. At this point, the responsible and best possible outcome would be for the commission to give up and let the courts and special masters take over, as Virginia's did, so that the special masters can act as professionals with expertise and qualifications, not partisans. As Pierre Elliott Trudeau once said, this mess deserves a no
12/21/2021 13:48:37	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map Decision Discussion	Anne Robison	85225	Self	As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared to the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 13:51:14	December 21, 2021	VI> Draft Map Decision Discussion	Gary Robison	85225	Myself	As a resident of Chandler, I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared to the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 13:58:08	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Mark Begay	85902	Swlf	Commissioner Lerner needs to let other people speak!!!
12/21/2021 14:08:27	December 21, 2021	Congressional District	Merissa Hamilton	85022		Lerner's attempts to draw a custom district for Jevin Hodge should be firmly rejected. Stop the partisan games!
12/21/2021 14:11:38	December 21, 2021	Final redistricting maps	Nohl Rosen	85390	Self	The latest 16.0 map doesn't work for Wickenburg. Why doesn't the IRC listen to all of us who stated that Wickenburg as a whole should be in LD5 and CD2 instead of the LD30 and CD9? Putting us in LD29 and CD9 as our Town Council suggested last night also doesn't work. Is this really about fair maps or is it about doing what's best for the Latino Coalition and what other progressive organizations want?
12/21/2021 14:12:10	December 21, 2021	VI Draft Map Decision Discussion	Katherine Doman Sheydayi	85296	Self	I fully support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20th. The change unites Chandler communities of interest in LD 13, while improving all constitutional criteria compared the previous LD 13 boundary.
12/21/2021 14:41:45	December 21, 2021	VI draft map decision discussion	Virginia Dotson	85902	Self	CD 2 in Draft Map 12.1 still does not meet your own standards for competitiveness. A district with 14 Tribal Nations drawn as a Republican stronghold is outrageous. Please avoid challenges and do-overs by adding more Democrats from areas along the borders of CD2. Search your Draft CD7 for possibilities. Thank you.
12/21/2021 14:45:21	December 21, 2021	VI	Paul Weich	85044	self	Was deeply bothered by what just transpired. Chair Neuberger just (apparently) deliberately misstated Comm. Lerner was saying. Neuberger asked her for a definition of "HIGHLY competitive." Upon receiving it, she then declared that Lerner gave her a definition of "COMPETITIVE". That is a BIG difference, and we need an acknowledgment of that error.

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 14:47:30	December 21, 2021	the whole thing	Jane Rhodes	85331	myself	<p>COMMENTS REGARDING LD3</p> <p>1.LD3 in LD Draft 16.0 is a prime example of gerrymandering in the extreme. There is no honest justification for yet another gerrymandered Republican district resulting in a highly uncompetitive 26% vote spread.</p> <p>2.And LD3 is certainly NOT compact either. You have to drive through FOUR other LDs to get from Apache Junction to Cave Creek / Carefree. Yet again we ask that you respect our collective COI which is North-South along the Tatum, Cave Creek. and Scottsdale Rd. corridors, not West from Anthem and New River to Apache Junction in the Far East.</p> <p>3.Remove New River and Anthem from LD3. Once again we ask that you extend LD3 south to include Desert Ridge and communities south of the 101 as far as Bell Road or further. The solution to a viable LD3 is NOT to extend it north and west but instead, south, picking up parts of LD4 and LD8 which are over-populated.</p> <p>GENERAL REMARKS</p> <p>1.Today the Commission and the Chair continue to ignore the extreme partisan imbalance in both the CD and LD maps.</p> <p>2.After 30 days of comments, over 300 of which called for partisan balance WITHIN districts, you continue to ignore all comments but those of Republicans as you draw these ridiculously partisan maps. You are inviting 10 more years of extremist ideology supported by the loudly vocal few thereby pushing democracy out of Arizona. What a legacy.</p> <p>3.Democrats are not lobbying for making maps that assure our control; we ARE demanding that both parties have a fair shot at competing for a majority of seats by honestly earning voters' votes, not by having it wired in advance.</p> <p>4.Arizona is no longer a Republican state. Our U.S. Senators are both Democrats. The 2020 presidential election went blue. A majority of our members of Congress are Democrats and Democrats hold nearly half of all state legislative seats. The INDEPENDENT Redistricting Commission must reflect the realities of Arizona, whether 3 of you like it or not. The IRC was created by voters to PREVENT partisan gerrymandering, NOT enact it in spades.</p> <p>5.To relegate competitiveness to a low level consideration is not only preposterous and anti-democratic; it also a disingenuous cover for doing the opposite: that is, paying supreme attention to the ELIMINATION of competitiveness, guaranteeing that Republicans will control the state legislature for yet another 10 years, not through honest politicking that an Independent Commission would promote but through Republican-designed and Republican-controlled map-making from a far-from-independent commission. By so doing, the 3-2 Republican control of the Commission has made this process a total sham.</p> <p>6.Perhaps even worse, allowing a 6-3 congressional map favoring a radicalized Republican party demonstrates the radicalization of the Commission itself and is completely unacceptable to the majority of voters.</p> <p>7.By doing so, you truly insult the will of Arizona voters.</p>
12/21/2021 14:52:05	December 21, 2021	CD 6 & 7	Jeff Howell	85749		<p>Chair Neuberg has never answered the question why she's willing to divide up Casa Grande as proposed by the GOP maps. If she's not opposed to it...then split it up between CD2 and CD7 keeping its COI between CG and AZ City. Look at the submitted plan "So. Arizona CD Compromise (off version 12.1)". This plan perfectly keeps all COI and Latino coalition boundaries in place. Makes CD6 a 50/50 competitive district. The public are following the requirements, but you and your commissioners aren't looking at the creative maps being drawn. What a waste!</p>
12/21/2021 14:53:02	December 21, 2021	Ld13	Krista McKinney	85249	Self	<p>Please use the draft map for Id13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner. I am a Chandler resident and I would like to be in the same legislative district as my neighbors.</p>
12/21/2021 14:56:03	December 21, 2021	Maps	Harrienne Kopel	85087		<p>The border of I-17 seems to split New River from anything that resembles our area. Please consider placing the map with Anthem.</p>



Public Meeting Comments 12.21.21

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 14:56:36	December 21, 2021	Decision of final redistricting maps	Renee Kirkpatrick	85704	self and Arizona community	<p>Time is drawing near for the Arizona Independent Redistricting Commission to do what is right for the communities of Arizona. We are a diverse and ever changing population deserving of all voices heard in a fair, equitable manner. Voters made this decision in 2000 when voting into law the necessity of an INDEPENDENT commission to draw legislative districts. The 3 basic requirements are spelled out in the law: competitive, compact and contiguous. Test map v9.0 best meets these requirements for District 17.</p> <p>Please vote Test map v9.- Arizonans are counting on You Thanks</p>
12/21/2021 15:00:21	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Nelson Morgan	85054	Self	<p>I agree with Chair Neuberg that the estimates of vote spread should not be taken as accurate measures, given the sparsity of data used to calculate those estimates and the crudity of the model; in fact I have commented on this both in public hearings and in these comments. That being said, there are certainly very significant changes that have taken place since the CD draft map was approved, and they have tended away from a competitive map. Probably the most egregious of these changes was in CD8, which had roughly a 4% vote spread in the draft map; the vote spread is now over 15%. This level of difference is highly unlikely to be due to noise in the modeling.</p> <p>I think that as a practical matter, smaller competitiveness ranges should have been chosen (such as 2% for highly competitive, 4% for competitive), since in general it is hard for anyone from the minority party to win for anything larger than a 4% expected gap. For that reason I have sympathy for Commissioner Lerner's expression of her views. But I do understand that the AIRC adopted the broader criterion, as well as the simple average (as opposed to something that actually estimates the statistical relationship between statewide elections and district ones, like planscore). Maybe in 2031 we can do a better job ...</p>
12/21/2021 15:03:42	December 21, 2021	CD maps	Christopher DeYoung	85745	self	<p>I know Central Tucson well, having lived there most of my life. I want to register my objection to including most of Central Tucson East of Campbell in the "University District" The University ends at Campbell Ave. That is where the Congressional district line should be drawn. In addition there is no need in fact for the University to be in a Single district. You seem to joyously have carved up the "district' into 3 Legislative districts', as plenty of University affiliates live south of Broadway. I have lived in a competitive CD 2 previously for many, many years and prefer this to being included in a non-competitive district.</p>
12/21/2021 15:16:58	December 21, 2021	VI Draft Map Decision Discussion Map 12.0.1	Kathy Wagner	85248	myself	<p>I am requesting that in the new LD 13 the Latino community be kept whole. This community of interest should not be divided in half when it can easily be kept whole within LD 13. I am wondering how you justify splitting this community of interest when it is so obviously not necessary. Maps have been submitted that would keep this community whole. It would be best for the district to align with the City of Chandler boundaries especially in the north and east side of Chandler.</p>
12/21/2021 15:31:01	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision discussion	Carol Hansen	85249	self	<p>I am a resident of Chandler and have lived here for 3 years. Once again I am writing in support of the proposed draft for LD 13. I would like to see the city of Chandler remain within the boundaries especially in the north and east sections. Please do not place us with Gilbert, I live in Chandler. Chandler is an up and coming city and I appreciate the richness of cultures and wish to see it remain as such. We moved here because of the opportunities in Chandler. In the spirit of transparency, please address which constitutional criteria you are fulfilling as these boundaries are determined. I appreciate the opportunity for public comment--this is our democracy at work at our local levels and I am proud to be an American because of these opportunities. Thank you for your efforts!</p>
12/21/2021 15:45:42	December 21, 2021	Voting boundaries	Laurie Thoma	85086	Myself	<p>Anthem should be included in a Phoenix area district not the rural areas north of us. Please listen to the voters. We are not a rural area. If you put in 85086 in search engines it often comes up as Phoenix.</p>



Public Meeting Comments 12.21.21

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 15:55:58	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Sheryl Jones	85253-2803	self	Please adopt the LD 16.0 and CD 12.1 maps
12/21/2021 16:05:41	December 21, 2021	moving forward	Lynne Hudson	85704	self and you all	The Rs and Ds can't seem to agree. Please move on to CD 6 and 7 where your issues are more serious.
12/21/2021 16:22:24	December 21, 2021	voting on CD 6 and 7	Lynne Hudson	85704	self	It is often true that past is prologue, so watching what you all do (vote), not what you say.
12/21/2021 16:31:03	December 21, 2021	VI Draft Map Discussion. Congressional Maps CD6	Dianne Coscarelli	85718	Self	<p>Commissioner Lerner makes an excellent point that the CD draft maps you are working with are Republican maps that were voted 3-2 as a starting point with expressed concerns by the Democratic Commissioners. So the starting point was pro-Republican. Since then Commissioners Lerner and Watchman have continually made compromises but the goal posts seem to keep getting moved farther down the field, making it most difficult to achieve fair and competitive districts that meet Constitutional criteria.</p> <p>Commissioner Mehl's insistence on moving the boundary between CD6 and CD7 is a perfect example. He obviously has a goal to make CD6 lean right as much as he can push that boundary. Yet he does not make those same arguments when it comes to districts that already lean right.</p>
12/21/2021 16:34:59	December 21, 2021	VI. Draft Map decision	Gary McGrath	85233	myself	I support the changes to IRC LD map version 15.0 regarding LD 13 proposed by Commissioner Lerner on December 20. I have lived in this area for 21 years and want to vote in competitive elections.
12/21/2021 16:39:04	December 21, 2021	Map redistribution	We appreciate your efforts and consideration. Thank you for separating Anthem from Yavapai County. We continue to see ourselves as a suburb of Phoenix and we want to remain a community where we share in all aspects from going to school to dining and to grocery shopping. Please don't separate us via I- 17 into east ant west	85086	Home owner	Please give this careful consideration. We understand how difficult this is.
12/21/2021 16:40:16	December 21, 2021	East Santa Cruz County	Matt Parrilli	85637	Citizens of Sonoita/Elgin	Given the 297% Democrat registered voter dominance in Santa Cruz County there is no sane reason to not put Sonoita/Elgin in CD 6. In fact, the data suggest that the border region extension of CD 7 into Cochise County is entirely without merit!
12/21/2021 16:54:26	December 21, 2021	CD 6/CD 7 boundary in the southern most border area. the border	Matt Parrilli	85637	the citizens of Sonoita/ Elgin	Do not exclude Sonoita/ Elgin from CD 6!

Timestamp	Meeting Date	Agenda Item	First and Last Name	Zip Code	Representing	Comments
12/21/2021 16:59:07	December 21, 2021	District 28	Rebecca nance	85086	Redistributing comments	Anthem is a suburb of Phoenix, as a multi-racial family our interests are better served with a metropolitan district that more closely aligns with the school district and I-17 corridor.
12/21/2021 17:12:36	December 21, 2021	CD 6/CD 7 boundary	Matt Parrilli	85637	Citizens of Sonoita/Elgin	Quit talking about Santa Cruz County. You are supposed to be talking about districts!
12/21/2021 17:23:07	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Mary Ann	85087	Self	<p>Good afternoon.</p> <p>First, thank you for not including New River within a district that would include a portion of Yavapai County.</p> <p>New River and Anthem should not be in a district that includes Fountain Hills and the rural areas east of Fountain Hills; these communities have little in common. Also, please do not use I-17 as a dividing line; we would like to be in a district that includes the north community on both sides of I-17. Please move D3 and D28 district boundaries south, rather than west or east. To keep elections more politically competitive, our D3 and D28 districts should go down I-17 to or beyond the northern Loop 101.</p> <p>Thank you. Mary Ann Bushard</p>
12/21/2021 17:23:09	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Mary Moriarity	85086	Myself	<p>I live in Anthem , Az and all I do is primarily down the 17 to the south. Our needs all match the metropolitan areas to the south. Please do not put us with cave creek and Apache junction! Their country needs are completely different. Our Deer valley school district should be in the same district for voting.</p> <p>We thank you for separating us from Yavapai county but please move us south and not east and west !!!!</p>
12/21/2021 17:27:24	December 21, 2021	Redistricting	Rhonda Licata	85086	self	<p>Please keep our I-17 north corridor community of interest together. It is important that our district includes areas both east and west of I-17.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I live in Anthem and we would like to be in a district with our entire I-17 north community on both sides of I-17. Please do not use I-17 as a dividing line. - Map 16.0 cuts out part of Anthem west of I-17. - Anthem shouldn't be in a district with Fountain Hills and rural areas east of it. These areas have nothing in common. - Anthem is a suburb of Phoenix and should be in a district with other nearby suburbs. We don't have anything in common with Fountain Hills or Sun City West or Wickenburg and should not be included in a district with them. - Anthem is in a community of interest with the areas up and down I-17 north, from New River to north Loop 101, east and west of the freeway. Anthem residents shop, dine, and send our kids to school in neighboring communities along I-17. These areas should be kept together in one district. - I thank the Commission for separating Anthem from Yavapai County. - Please respect the boundaries of precincts and the DVUSD school district. - Please move D3 and D28 district boundaries south rather than west or east. - To keep elections more politically competitive, our D3/D28 districts should go down I-17 to or beyond the northern Loop 101.
12/21/2021 17:45:58	December 21, 2021	CD6/CD 7 boundary	Matt Parrilli	85637	Citizens of Sonoita/Elgin	You are cutting Sonoita/Elgin out. That is shameful and possibly dereliction.
12/21/2021 17:52:21	December 21, 2021	CD 13.6	Matt Parrilli	85637	Citizens of Sonoita/Elgin	What about the trashing of eastern Santa Cruz County?!!
12/21/2021 18:08:12	December 21, 2021	Sonoita/Elgin	Matt Parrilli	85637	Citizens of Sonoita/Elgin	You just told us that Communities of Interest are the least of your concerns. That is shameful at best and an abrogation of the goals laid out in the Arizona Constitution at worst.